Transfer Learning on an unseen North-African Arabic Dialect

Benjamin Muller PhD Student ALMANACH INRIA, Paris joint work with Djamé Seddah and Benoit Sagot

December 2019, Bar-Ilan University



Research Question



Can we use multilingual large scale pretrained language models to improve low resource NLP?



Outline

- 1. North African Arabic Dialect : Arabizi
- 2. Transfer Learning using pre-trained language model
- 3. Part-of-Speech Tagging on Arabizi with Multilingual BERT
 - Scenario 1 : Low resource
 - Scenario 2 : Zero-shot Cross Lingual
 - Scenario 3 : Unsupervised Adaptation



North African Arabic Romanized dialect : Arabizi





North African Arabic Romanized dialect : Arabizi



+ Maltese : descended from Siculo-Arabic a Maghrebi Arabic dialect



North African Arabic Romanized dialect : Arabizi

Definition

Spontaneous transliteration and non-normalized that emerged to ease internet communication between Arabic speakers

"Mrhba, Ana **3**rbi mn dzaye

Hi, I'm arabic from Algeria مرحبا, أنا عربي من الجزائر

Arabizi properties

- 1. Diacritics sign replaced by vowels a i e u or y (unlike MSA)
- 2. Use of digit numbers to cope with Arabic letters absent from latin alphabet (ascii actually)
- 3. Code mixed with European languages (mostly French)
- 4. No norms (spontaneous usage), high degree of variability between arabizi speakers
 - E.g: Why : wa3lach w3alh 3alach 3lache (Arabizi)

All : ekl kal kolach koulli kol (Arabizi) Many : beaucoup boucoup bcp (French)



Transfer Learning

• Machine Learning core problem

I.I.d assumption :

$$X_i, Y_i \to p_\theta(Y|X)$$

• Transfer Learning core problem

Given

$$\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{X}
eq Y, X$$
 $p_{ heta}(\widetilde{Y} | \widetilde{X})$ $\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{X}$ other domain, language, task...

- → What performance can we expect from p_{θ} and why ?
- → How to do better ?



 $\mathbf{X}_i
ightarrow p_{ heta_0}(X|\dot{X})$ Pretraining



In-Domain Task specific Fine tuning

$$Y_i, X_i, \theta_0 \to p_{\theta_1, \alpha}(Y|X)$$

-

 $\mathbf{X}_i
ightarrow p_{ heta_0}(X | \dot{X})$ Pretraining



In-Domain Task specific Fine tuning

$$Y_i, X_i, \theta_0 \to p_{\theta_1, \alpha}(Y|X)$$

 $p_{\theta_1, \alpha}(\widetilde{Y}|\widetilde{X})$ Cross Domain/Language evaluation

$$\mathbf{X}_i
ightarrow p_{ heta_0}(X|X)$$
 Pretraining

In-Domain Task specific Fine tuning

Domain/Language Task Specific Fine tuning

$$Y_i, X_i, \theta_0 \to p_{\theta_1, \alpha}(Y|X)$$

 $p_{\theta_1,\alpha}(\widetilde{Y}|\widetilde{X})$

Cross Domain/Language evaluation

 $\widetilde{Y}_i, \widetilde{X}_i, \theta_0 \to p_{\widetilde{\theta}_1, \alpha}(\widetilde{Y} | \widetilde{X})$

 $p_{\tilde{\theta_1},\alpha}(Y)$

$$\begin{split} X_i &\to p_{\theta_0}(X|\dot{X}) \quad \text{Pretraining} \\ & \overbrace{X_i, \theta_0 \to p_{\widetilde{\theta_0}}(\widetilde{X}|\dot{\widetilde{X}})}_{\text{In-Domain Task specific Fine tuning}} \quad \text{Unsupervised adaptation} \\ & \bigvee_{\text{In-Domain Task specific Fine tuning}} \quad \text{Domain/Language Specific} \quad p_{\widetilde{\theta_1}, \alpha}(\widetilde{Y}|\widetilde{X}) \quad & \overbrace{Y_i, \widetilde{X_i},}^{\text{Tomain/Language Specific}} \quad p_{\widetilde{\theta_1}, \alpha}(\widetilde{Y}|\widetilde{X}) \quad & \text{Domain/Language Specific} \quad p_{\widetilde{Evaluation}} \end{split}$$

Domain/Language Task Specific Fine tuning $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$

$$X_i, X_i, \theta_0 \to p_{\widetilde{\theta_1}, \widetilde{\alpha}}(Y|X)$$



$$\mathbf{X}_i o p_{ heta_0}(X|X)$$
 Pretraining

$$p_{\theta_1,\alpha}(\widetilde{Y}|\widetilde{X})$$

In-Domain Task specific Fine tuning

 $p_{\tilde{\theta}_1,\alpha}(Y|X)$

Unsupervised adaptation

 $p_{\widetilde{\theta_1},\widetilde{\alpha}}(Y|X)$

Domain/Language Task Specific Fine tuning

By analysing those results

- → Can we design fine-tuning strategies for out of domain low resource language ?
- → Can we gain insights on what's (not) captured by the language model at each step of the training process ?

So Far...

- Arabizi : a highly variable and low resource romanized arabic dialect
- Transfer Learning based on Language modelling

How does a pre-trained language model perform an unseen Arabizi?

- → Zero-shot transfer
- → Low ressource
- → Unsupervised adaptation

How does the French code-mixing impact those performances?



Arabizi Datasets

- Extraction from Common Crawl using language identifier based on fastext (linear models on bag of n-grams)
- UD-Like treebank enhanced with glose, and word level language id (¹/₃ French tokens)

Treebank + Raw Dataset (Data from Upcoming release) :

Data	Sent	Tokens
Gold-Standard Code-Mixed	9,372	203,386
Annotated	1,434	22,465
Crawled+CommonCrawl Extract	49,523	1,729,411

Table 1: Summary of Dataset (In annotated, 1,172 sentences for training, 146 for validation and 148 for test)



Multilingual Bert on Arabizi

Multilingual BERT (mBERT)

- Trained on concatenation of wikipedia corpus on 104 languages [Delvin et al. 2019]
- Include MS Arabic and French
- Do not include Arabizi or any related dialects (even distant one like Maltese)
- Word-Pieces allows to handle any sequence of alphanumeric characters

Recent Work

- How multilingual is multilingual BERT ? [T.Pires et al. 2019]
- Unsupervised Domain Adaptation of Contextualized Embeddings for Sequence Labeling? [Han Jacob Eisenstein 2019]



mBERT on Arabizi : 1st clue

• Layer embedding of sentences sampled from Arabizi French, Arabic, English, Spanish





T-SNE projection of BERT sentence embedding layer 9



T-SNE projection of BERT sentence embedding layer 10



mBERT on Arabizi : 2nd clue

Accuracy Masked Language Models

Data/Model	mBERT		
Arabizi	26.4		
Wiki French	43.05		

Table 1: Impact of unsupervised fine tuning on Mask Language Modelling top-1 accuracy. Arabizi measured on Test set of Arabizi treebank. Wikipedia measured on 5000 sentences sampled from french Wikipedia

- For unseen dialect it is decent performance
- Now how does it perform on a specific task?

Experiments : 3 Transfer scenarios

Focusing on Part-of-Speech Tagging

• Scenario 1 : Low Ressource

mBERT ⇒ Unsupervised Adaptation BERT_{A7} ⇒ POS Fine-tuned on Arabizi ⇒ Arabizi Test

mBERT ⇒ POS Fine-tuned on Arabizi

• Scenario 2 : Zero-shot Cross-Lingual Transfer

mBERT ⇒ POS Fine-tuned on Source Language

🔿 Arabizi Test

⇒ Arabizi Test

• Scenario 3 : Unsupervised Adaptation

mBERT \Rightarrow Unsup. Adaptation **BERT**_{AZ} \Rightarrow POS Fine-tuned on **Source** Language \Rightarrow Arabizi Test

Baselines

- Majority class + PUNCT prediction
- **StanfordNLP** (State-of-the-art) in POS tagging with French Fastext word embeddings [P Qi et al 2018]
- **Udpipe 1.0** (non neuronal baseline)
- → How does mBERT perform compare to other models ?

- Random Transformer
- Random Transformer trained on 50k sentences as a Masked-Language Model
- → What's the value of pretraining on 104 languages in processing Arabizi?

Fine-tuning details

- Part-of-Speech Fine tuning
 - Control annotated dataset size ⇒ Training on 1500~ annotated sentences in all scenarios
 - Fine-tuning with Adam for up to 10 epochs
- Unsupervised Fine-tuning (following [Han Jacob Eisenstein 2019])
 - Fine-tuning using dynamic Masked Language Modelling Objective (50k sentence)
 - Learning rate Linear Warm Up 10% of training and Linear Decay

Experiments : Low Resource Scenario

Scenario	Model	Training data	Accuracy UPOS	Δ to mBERT
Low Resource	mBERT	Arabizi	81.15	0.02
Low Resource	$mBERT_{AZ}$	Arabizi	83.36	+2.21
Low Resource	MLM 50k	Arabizi	73.33	-7.82
Low Resource	Random Transformer	Arabizi	63.42	-17.73
Low Resource	StanfordNLP	Arabizi	84.20	+3.05
Low Resource	Udpipe	Arabizi	73.35	-7.80

Table 3: Low Resource : training on Arabizi train set (1172 sentences) evaluated on test set (148)

- → 1500 sentences on Arabizi Treebank leads to useable POS tags
- → mBERT is 2.21 above "SOTA" model and 7 points above baseline
- → +17.7 compare to random transformer
- → Unsupervised Adaptation leads to significant +2.21 gain

Experiments : Zero Shot Cross Lingual Transfer

Scenario	Model	Training data	Accuracy UPOS	
Zero shot CL transfer	mBERT	French	39.11	
Zero shot CL transfer	mBERT	MS Arabic	16.55	
••	mBERT	Maltese	36.11	
"	mBERT	Vietnamese	16.92	
Cross Lingual transfer	StanfordNLP	French	31.90	
Cross Lingual transfer	Random Transformer	French	30.29	
Bottom line	Majority class + Punct	Arabizi	20.49	

Table 4: Zero shot cross lingual transfer

- Unsurprisingly French is the one that transfer the best to Arabizi
- No transfer happening across scripts [Pires et al. 2017 confirmed]
- Pretraining on 104 languages account for +7.7 points in FR→Arabizi transfer

Experiments : Unsupervised Adaptation

Scenario	Model	Training data	Accuracy UPOS 39.11 50.99 36.23	
Zero shot CL transfer Adaptation (50k raw sentences) Adaptation (50k raw sentences)	mBERT mBERT _{AZ} MLM	French French French		
Cross Lingual transfer Bottom line	StanfordNLP Majority class + Punct	French Arabizi	31.90 20.49	

Table 5: Unsupervised Adaption to Arabizi

→ Unsupervised Adaptation leads to +10 points (confirming [Han Jacob Eisenstein 2019] on Arabizi)

So Far again...

Results Summary

- Low Ressource
 - POS tags useable on Arabizi
 - mBERT leads to competitive POS tagger and unsupervised fine-tuning help
- Cross lingual
 - Transfer happen in same script languages but doesn't across scripts
 - French leads to the best transfer
 - Unsupervised Adaptation impacts a lot cross lingual transfer

→ How much does code-mixing matters in those performances ?

Code-mixed buckets

Proportion Arabizi % of word in sentence		60-78	78-100	=100
train set number sents	322	286	283	276
test set number sents	39	38	34	36

Table 7: Code-mixed Buckets

- Split each set in buckets of controlled proportion of code-mixing (around 25%) (using the word language identifier)
- Except Low resource scenario evaluated on the Train for having more data

Experiments : Low Resource



Experiments : Low Resource



- Code-Mixing is not the only factor that explains mBERT success
- Unsupervised adaptation push performance across code-mixing proportions

Experiments : Low Resource



- Code-Mixing is not the only factor that explains mBERT success
- Unsupervised adaptation pushes performance across code-mixing proportions
- 1200 sentences enough to learn as well arabizi tokens as French tokens

Experiments : Cross-Lingual Transfer (Fr vs Maltese)



Maltese transfer much better on Arabizi Tokens than French

Experiments : Cross-Lingual Transfer (Fr vs Maltese)



High proportion of code-mixing

Experiments : Cross-Lingual Transfer (Fr vs Maltese)



Transfer between Maltese and Arabizi is possible thanks to the pretraining on 104 languages

 While both Maltese and Arabizi are OOD!

Experiments : Cross-Lingual Transfer (Fr vs Malt and concat)



High proportion of code-mixing

Experiments : Cross-Lingual Transfer(Fr vs Malt and concat)



- Concatenating
 Maltese and French leads to best-of-both world
- Unsupervised adaptation help even more

Experiments : Cross-Lingual Transfer (with Vietnamese)



High proportion of code-mixing

Only Arabizi

Conclusions

- Multilingual pretrained language model are useful on unseen Dialect (low resource scenario).
 - Training in low resource scenario leads to competitive systems
- In Cross Lingual transfer
 - mBERT does not transfer across different scripts
 - Within same script : transfer is happening from multilingual representation to target unseen data
 - Unsupervised adaptation is highly impactful on the performance
- → Structures captured at pre-training can be used and transferred to unseen languages
- → Does Maltese to Arabizi transfer reveals Interlingua ability of multilingual BERT?

Future Work

- Analyse representation along fine-tuning (wordpieces, embeddings,...)
- Experience with monolingual version of BERT (Roberta, CamemBERT, ...)
- Iterate on unsupervised adaptation (trick word-pieces, layer regularization...)
- Transferring across scripts ?